## **PART III**

## **MONITORING PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION**

(To be filled in separately for each project)

1.	Project title: Project code:	Providing Sewerage System in Krishna Lanka Area (Sector – 8)	3.	•	A/c. No.: 62079496073 State Bank of Hyderabad, Governorpet Branch, Vijayawada.
2.	Implementing Agency:	Municipal Corporation of Vijayawada	4.	Project Cost (in Rs. Lakhs) – as sanctioned	743.00

All amounts are in Rs. lakhs

5. Budget Allocation by ULB / parastatal agency						
Allocation in ULB / parastatal agency budget for this project in current	Rs.0.00 Lakhs					
financial year						

6.	Capital Contributions to the project and Inflows <sup>1</sup>							
		Commitme nt based on approved project cost	% of total	Actual release upto end of last reporting quarter <sup>2</sup>	Actual amounts released into	Commitment pending release		
S. No	Sources		project cost		During the last quarter being reported	Cumulative released as on	from source for balance project period	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(5+6)	8=(3-7)	
1	GoI	371.50	50	185.74	0.00	185.74	185.76	
2	State	148.60	20	74.30	0.00	74.30	74.30	
3	ULB	222.90	30	441.91	12.79	454.70	0.00	
4	Others (specify agency's name)	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	743.00	100	701.95	12.79	714.74	260.06	

2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note (for filling table):

 <sup>(1)</sup> Quarter is defined to be aligned with the financial year time frames
 (2) Actual dates / amounts for all sources should correspond to dates / amounts of actual cash inflow in the project bank account
 (3) Amounts committed pending release for current financial year and beyond should be linked to project implementation schedule.
 <sup>2</sup> From start of the project

	Rs.
Total interest accumulated in bank account to date	

I							
Tender Package No.	Upto end of last reporting Quarter <sup>4</sup>	Actual amounts utilised in the project  During the last quarter being reported	Estimated expenditure for next quarter	Expected time to request for next Installment			
1	2	3	4=(2+3)	5	6		
1.							
2.							
3.	Separate list enclosed						
n.							
Total							
	Utilisation of funds as % of funds received from all sources for the project as on date 100%						

All amounts are in Rs. lakhs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Utilisation implies – drawals from the project bank account for payments pertaining to the project <sup>4</sup> From the start of the project

8.	Project Implementation Monitoring									
	List all tender packages proposed for the project		t (in Rs. Lakhs)		Project Start		Implementation Status		Completion	
Packag e No.	Brief Title of Tender Package	Estimate	Awarded	On completi on	Tender Release date	Tender Award date	(Work Not started/Under Progress/Com pleted)	% of work completed (Physical Progress)	Schedu led date (as per DPR)	Estimated completion date
1.										
2.										
3.										
	Separate list enclosed									
n.										
	Total									

Scheduled completion date of Project as per DPR<sup>5</sup> approved by CSMC: October / 2007

Actual duration (in months) for project completion: 12 Months

Estimated time for completion of project as on date: <u>December / 2009</u>

Is there a difference between schedule date of completion and estimated date of completion : Yes

In case Yes, then what are the reasons for the delay, please select from the list below:

Sl. No.	List of Issues	Yes/No	Brief remarks on the reason for delay
i.	Delay related to fund release into Project Account	-	
ii.	Issues related to cost escalation	Yes	The cost of DI pipes are increased in the market. At the beginning of the scheme, the cost of steel and cement in the local market are also increased.
iii.	Delay in tendering process	Yes	Due to non available of similar nature of qualified and experienced contractors, Scarcity of DI pipes.
iv.	Technical sanction process at state level	No	
v.	Field level conditions leading to redesign	No	
vi.	Constraints in supply of equipment/material/technology	Yes	Scarcity of DI pipes.
vii.	Technical capacity of ULBs	No	
viii.	Project Management related issues.	No	
ix.	Any other issues / constraints in project implementation	Yes	Floods, Cyclones, Non available of building materials, truck operators strike, elections and monsoon rains.

<sup>5</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Please specify month and year of completion as envisaged in the DPR, taking into account the release of first installment of funds from GoI as start date for the project

10.	Status of Various Initiatives:					
S.	Programme	Item	Actual Status (in numbers)			
No.			During the last quarter	Cumulative since inception of the mission		
1.	Type of Capacity Building Programmes					
		Number of Official Trained	-	12		
		Number of Non Official Trained	-	1		
2.	Workshops					
		National Level	-	-		
		State Level	-	-		
		Regional Level	-	-		
3.	Other (Please specify key initiatives)		-	-		

11.Issues i	11.Issues in Project Monitoring and Inspections							
Sl. No	Particulars Particulars Particulars	Remarks						
1	Inspections carried out by SLNA/ GoI Officers	MD, APUFIDC, Hyderabad.						
		CE, MOHUPA, New Delhi.						
		NIUA Team, New Delhi.						
		IRMA, Salem.						
		CE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad.						
2	Date of Inspection	MD, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on 23-05-2009 & 17 <sup>th</sup> & 18 <sup>th</sup> June-09 and 21-02-2010.						
		CE, MOHUPA, New Delhi on 08-08-2009.						
		NIUA Team, New Delhi on Jan' 09.						
		IRMA, Salem on 23 <sup>rd</sup> to 29 <sup>th</sup> May' 09 CE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on 1 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> December' 2009 and 21-02-2010.						
		CE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on 1 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> December' 2009 and 21-02-2010.						
3	Issues reported during Inspections	-						
4	Course corrections done	Attended.						
5	Suggestions, if any, for project monitoring and MIS	-						

COMMISSIONER, MUNICIPAL CORPORATION,

VIJAYAWADA

Signature & Date **Authorised Signatory Project Implementing Agency** 

Date:14-07-2010.

COMMISSIONER,

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

VIJAYAWADA.

Signature & Date Authorised Signatory Urban Local Body<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In case of projects spanning across multiple ULBs in cities with more than one ULB, the concerned person from the largest ULB in the city should sign on behalf of all ULBs participating in the project