## PART III

## **MONITORING PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION**

(To be filled in separately for each project)

	Project title:	Providing Bus Rapid			<b>Project Bank</b>	A/c. No.: 62079496073
		Transit System facility in			A/c No:	State Bank of Hyderabad,
1.		Vijayawada City.		3.	& Name &	Governorpet Branch,
	Project code:	Vja-015			Address of	Vijayawada.
	-				Bank	
	Implementing	Municipal Corporation of			<b>Project Cost</b>	15264.00
2	Agency:	Vijayawada		4	(in Rs.	
2.				4.	Lakhs) – as	
					sanctioned	
All amounts are in Rs. lakhs						

5. Budget Allocation by ULB / parastatal agency	
Allocation in ULB / parastatal agency budget for this project in current	Rs.2000.00 Lakhs
financial year	

6.	<b>Capital Contr</b>	ibutions to	the proje	ect and Inflows <sup>1</sup>			
		Commitme nt based on	% of total	Actual release	Actual amounts rele Accou	Commitment pending release	
S. No	Sources	approved project cost	project cost	upto end of last reporting quarter <sup>2</sup>	During the last quarter being reported	Cumulative released as on 	from source for balance project period
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(5+6)	8=(3-7)
1	GoI	7632.00	50	3816.00	0.00	3816.00	3816.00
2	State	3052.80	20	1526.40	0.00	1526.40	1526.40
3	ULB	4579.20	30	2706.36	0.00	2706.36	1872.84
	Others (specify agency's name)	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total	15264.00	100	8048.76	0.00	8048.76	7215.24

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note (for filling table):

<sup>(1)</sup> Quarter is defined to be aligned with the financial year time frames

<sup>(2)</sup> Actual dates / amounts for all sources should correspond to dates / amounts of actual cash inflow in the project bank account

 <sup>(3)</sup> Amounts committed pending release for current financial year and beyond should be linked to project implementation schedule.
<sup>2</sup> From start of the project

	Rs.
Total interest accumulated in bank account to date	

7. N	Ionitoring Funds Utilisation <sup>3</sup> for the project					
	Actual am					
Tender Package No.	Upto end of last reporting Quarter <sup>4</sup>	During the last quarter being reported	Cumulative Expenditure as on	Estimated expenditure for next quarter	Expected time to request for next Installment	
1	2	3	4=(2+3)	5	6	
1.						
2.						
3.	Separate list enclosed					
n.						
Total						
			1	<u> </u>		
Utilisat	Utilisation of funds as % of funds received from all sources for the project as on date100%					

All amounts are in Rs. lakhs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Utilisation implies – drawals from the project bank account for payments pertaining to the project <sup>4</sup> From the start of the project

All amounts are in Rs. lakhs

8.	Project Imp	ementatio	n Monitorir	ıg						
prop	ender packages osed for the project	Cost (in Rs. Lakhs)		Project Start		Implementation Status		Completion		
Packag e No.	Brief Title of Tender Package	Estimate	Awarded	On comp letion	Tender Release date	Tend er Awar d date	(Work Not started/U nder Progress/ Complete d)	% of work comp leted (Phys ical Progr ess)	Schedu led date (as per DPR)	Estimate d completi on date
1.										
2.										
3.										
	Sepa			Sepa	rate list end	closed				
n.										
	Total									

## Scheduled completion date of Project as per DPR<sup>5</sup> approved by CSMC: <u>May / 2009</u>

Actual duration (in months) for project completion: 24 Months

Estimated time for completion of project as on date: <u>March / 2012</u>

Is there a difference between schedule date of completion and estimated date of completion : <u>Yes</u>

In case Yes, then what are the reasons for the delay, please select from the list below:

	Sl. No.	List of Issues	Yes/No	Brief remarks on the reason for delay
	i.	Delay related to fund release into Project Account	No	No delay.
9.	ii.	Issues related to cost escalation	No	No escalation
9.	iii.	Delay in tendering process	Yes	Non participation of tenderers.
	iv.	Technical sanction process at state level	No	No delay.
	v.	Field level conditions leading to redesign	Yes	Re designed as per site condition.
	vi.	Constraints in supply of equipment/material/technology	No	No delay.
	vii.	Technical capacity of ULBs	Yes	Sufficient and hired M/s.CES Consu consultant.
	viii.	Project Management related issues.	No	No issues.
	ix.	Any other issues / constraints in project implementation	Yes	One Fly over at Benz Circle under finalization with NHAI authorities. in time and Revised approval.

10.	Status of Various Initiatives:			
S. No.	Programme	Item	Actual Stat	us (in numbers)
			During the last quarter	Cumulative since inception of the mission
1.	Type of Capacity Building			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Please specify month and year of completion as envisaged in the DPR, taking into account the release of first installment of funds from GoI as start date for the project

	Programmes			
		Number of Official	-	12
		Trained		
		Number of Non	-	1
		Official Trained		
	XX7 1 1			
2.	Workshops			
		National Level	-	-
		State Level	-	-
		Regional Level	-	-
3.	Other (Please specify key initiatives)		-	-

11.Issu	es in Project Monitoring and Inspec	tions
Sl.	Particulars	Remarks
No		
1	Inspections carried out by SLNA/ GoI	MD, APUFIDC, Hyderabad.
	Officers	CE, MOHUPA, New Delhi.
		NIUA Team, New Delhi.
		IRMA, Salem.
		CE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad.
		ENC / PH / Hyderabad.
2	Date of Inspection	MD, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on 23-05-2009 & 17 <sup>th</sup> & 18 <sup>th</sup> June-
		09 and 21-02-2010
		CE, MOHUPA, New Delhi on 08-08-2009.
		NIUA Team, New Delhi on Jan' 09.
		IRMA, Salem on 23 <sup>rd</sup> to 29 <sup>th</sup> May' 09
		CE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on 1 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> December' 2009 and
		21-02-2010.
		SE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on 28 <sup>th</sup> August' 2010.
		ENC / PH / Hyderabad on 01-10-2011 & 02-10-2011.
3	Issues reported during Inspections	-
4	Course corrections done	Attended.
5	Suggestions, if any, for project	-
	monitoring and MIS	

COMMISSIONER, MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, VIJAYAWADA

Signature & Date Authorised Signatory Project Implementing Agency

Date:13-10-2011.

COMMISSIONER, MUNICIPAL CORPORATION VIJAYAWADA.

> Signature & Date Authorised Signatory Urban Local Body<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In case of projects spanning across multiple ULBs in cities with more than one ULB, the concerned person from the largest ULB in the city should sign on behalf of all ULBs participating in the project