

PART III

MONITORING PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

(To be filled in separately for each project)

1.	Project title:	Mangalagiri Municipality - Providing Storm Water Drains under JNNURM Project.		3.	Project Bank A/c No: & Name & Address of Bank	8443 Part-III Civil Deposits
	Project code:	Vja-				
2.	Implementing Agency:	Public Health Circle, Guntur.		4.	Project Cost (in Rs. Lakhs) – as sanctioned	3016.00

All amounts are in Rs. lakhs

5. Budget Allocation by ULB / parastatal agency	
Allocation in ULB / parastatal agency budget for this project in current financial year	Rs.0.00 Lakhs

6. Capital Contributions to the project and Inflows ¹							
S. No	Sources	Commitment based on approved project cost	% of total project cost	Actual release upto end of last reporting quarter ²	Actual amounts released into Project Account		Commitment pending release from source for balance project period
					During the last quarter being reported	Cumulative released as on	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(5+6)	8=(3-7)
1	GoI	1508.00	50	377.00	142.85	519.85	988.15
2	State	603.20	20	150.80	57.15	207.95	395.25
3	ULB	904.80	30	426.20	426.20	426.20	478.60
4	Others (<i>specify agency's name</i>)	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total	3016.00	100	954.00	200.00	1154.00	1862.00

¹ Note (for filling table):

(1) Quarter is defined to be aligned with the financial year time frames

(2) Actual dates / amounts for all sources should correspond to dates / amounts of actual cash inflow in the project bank account

(3) Amounts committed pending release for current financial year and beyond should be linked to project implementation schedule.

² From start of the project

	Total interest accumulated in bank account to date	Rs.
--	--	-----

7. Monitoring Funds Utilisation ³ for the project					
Tender Package No.	Actual amounts utilised in the project			Estimated expenditure for next quarter	Expected time to request for next Installment
	Upto end of last reporting Quarter ⁴	During the last quarter being reported	Cumulative Expenditure as on		
1	2	3	4=(2+3)	5	6
1.					
2.					
3.	Separate list enclosed				
n.					
Total					
Utilisation of funds as % of funds received from all sources for the project as on date					90%

All amounts are in Rs. lakhs

³ Utilisation implies – draws from the project bank account for payments pertaining to the project

⁴ From the start of the project

All amounts are in Rs. lakhs

8.		Project Implementation Monitoring								
List all tender packages proposed for the project		Cost (in Rs. Lakhs)			Project Start		Implementation Status		Completion	
Package No.	Brief Title of Tender Package	Estimate	Awar ded	On compl etion	Tende r Releas e date	Tende r Awar d date	(Work Not started/Unde r Progress/Co mpleted)	% of work comple ted (Physic al Progres s)	Schedu led date (as per DPR)	Estimated completion date
1.										
2.										
3.	Separate list enclosed									
n.										
Total										

9.

Scheduled completion date of Project as per DPR⁵ approved by CSMC: 29.10.2010

Actual duration (in months)for project completion: 18 Months

Estimated time for completion of project as on date: 31.3.2012

Is there a difference between schedule date of completion and estimated date of completion : Yes

In case Yes, then what are the reasons for the delay, please select from the list below:

Sl. No.	List of Issues	Yes/No	Brief remarks on the reason for
i.	Delay related to fund release into Project Account	Yes	From the last two months the deposits particularly municipal share is not received till
ii.	Delay in starting of execution of scheme	Yes	After finalization of scheme at CSMC level, the Government had given administrative sanction vide GORT No. 356MA, dt.2.3.2009 and technical sanction was accorded by the Engineer-in-Chief (PH) Hyderabad vide Engineer-in-Chief (PH) Hyderabad R No.6/2009-10, dt.6.6.2009. Tender process was started from 11.6.2009 and the work was entrusted to M/S Raghava Constructions after completion of tender process vide Engineer-in-Chief (PH) Hyderabad letter No. 60309/ T3/ MNG/ SWD/ JNNURM/ 2009, dt.25.8.2009 and the agreement was concluded on 4.12.2009 which is the date of mark out to the work as per present condition.
iii.	Any other issues / constraints in project implementation	Yes	Removal of encroachments is to be done by Municipal Authorities for whom correspondence was made constantly and shifting of electrical poles which can in the alignment of the drains is to be done by APSPDCL. Correspondence is also work with this APSPDCL for shifting of poles. There are putting very less efforts for removal of encroachments and for shifting of electrical poles.

⁵ Please specify month and year of completion as envisaged in the DPR, taking into account the release of first installment of funds from GoI as start date for the project

10.	Status of Various Initiatives:			
S. No.	Programme	Item	Actual Status (in numbers)	
			During the last quarter	Cumulative since inception of the mission
1.	Type of Capacity Building Programmes			
		Number of Official Trained	-	-
		Number of Non Official Trained	-	-
2.	Workshops			
		National Level	-	-
		State Level	-	-
		Regional Level	-	-
3.	Other (Please specify key initiatives)		-	-

11. Issues in Project Monitoring and Inspections		
Sl. No	Particulars	Remarks
1	Inspections carried out by SLNA/ GoI Officers	MD, APUFIDC, Hyderabad. CE, MOHUPA, New Delhi. NIUA Team, New Delhi. IRMA, Salem. CE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad. ENC / PH / Hyderabad.
2	Date of Inspection	MD, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on 23-05-2009 & 17 th & 18 th June-09 and 21-02-2010 CE, MOHUPA, New Delhi on 08-08-2009. NIUA Team, New Delhi on Jan' 09. IRMA, Salem on 23 rd to 29 th May' 09 CE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on 1 st & 2 nd December' 2009 and 21-02-2010. SE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on 28 th August' 2010. ENC / PH / Hyderabad on 01-10-2011 & 02-10-2011.
3	Issues reported during Inspections	-
4	Course corrections done	Attended.
5	Suggestions, if any, for project monitoring and MIS	-

Signature & Date
Authorised Signatory
Project Implementing Agency

Signature & Date
Authorised Signatory
Urban Local Body⁶

Date:13-10-2011.

⁶ In case of projects spanning across multiple ULBs in cities with more than one ULB, the concerned person from the largest ULB in the city should sign on behalf of all ULBs participating in the project