

### **PART III**

### **MONITORING PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION**

*(To be filled in separately for each project)*

1.	<b>Project title:</b>	Replacement of the existing sewerage Treatment Plant with new plant at Singh Nagar.	3.	<b>Project Bank A/c No: &amp; Name &amp; Address of Bank</b>	A/c. No.: 62079496073 State Bank of Hyderabad, Governorpet Branch, Vijayawada.
	<b>Project code:</b>	Vja-011			
2.	<b>Implementing Agency:</b>	Municipal Corporation of Vijayawada	4.	<b>Project Cost (in Rs. Lakhs) – as sanctioned</b>	949.00

*All amounts are in Rs. lakhs*

5. Budget Allocation by ULB / parastatal agency	
Allocation in ULB / parastatal agency budget for this project in current financial year	Rs.400.00 Lakhs

6. Capital Contributions to the project and Inflows <sup>1</sup>							
S. No	Sources	Commitment based on approved project cost	% of total project cost	Actual release upto end of last reporting quarter <sup>2</sup>	Actual amounts released into Project Account		Commitment pending release from source for balance project period
					During the last quarter being reported	Cumulative released as on .....	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(5+6)	8=(3-7)
1	GoI	474.50	50	118.63	237.26	355.89	118.61
2	State	189.80	20	47.45	94.90	142.35	47.45
3	ULB	284.70	30	2215.34	0.00	2215.34	0.00
4	Others ( <i>specify agency's name</i> )	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	<b>Total</b>	<b>949.00</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>2381.42</b>	<b>332.16</b>	<b>2713.58</b>	<b>166.06</b>

<sup>1</sup> Note (for filling table):

(1) *Quarter is defined to be aligned with the financial year time frames*

(2) *Actual dates / amounts for all sources should correspond to dates / amounts of actual cash inflow in the project bank account*

(3) *Amounts committed pending release for current financial year and beyond should be linked to project implementation schedule.*

<sup>2</sup> From start of the project

Total interest accumulated in bank account to date	Rs.
--	-----

7. Monitoring Funds Utilisation <sup>3</sup> for the project					
Tender Package No.	Actual amounts utilised in the project			Estimated expenditure for next quarter	Expected time to request for next Installment
	Upto end of last reporting Quarter <sup>4</sup>	During the last quarter being reported	Cumulative Expenditure as on .....		
1	2	3	4=(2+3)	5	6
1.					
2.					
3.	Separate list enclosed				
n.					
<b>Total</b>					
Utilisation of funds as % of funds received from all sources for the project as on date				100%	

*All amounts are in Rs. lakhs*

<sup>3</sup> Utilisation implies – draws from the project bank account for payments pertaining to the project

<sup>4</sup> From the start of the project

*All amounts are in Rs. lakhs*

8.		Project Implementation Monitoring								
List all tender packages proposed for the project		Cost (in Rs. Lakhs)			Project Start		Implementation Status		Completion	
Package No.	Brief Title of Tender Package	Estimate	Awarded	On completion	Tender Release date	Tender Award date	(Work Not started/Under Progress/Completed)	% of work completed (Physical Progress)	Scheduled date (as per DPR)	Estimated completion date
1.										
2.										
3.										
	Separate list enclosed									
n.										
Total										

9.	<b>Scheduled completion date of Project as per DPR<sup>5</sup> approved by CSMC: <u>November / 2008</u></b>			
	<b>Actual duration (in months)for project completion: <u>24 Months</u></b>			
	<b>Estimated time for completion of project as on date: <u>June / 2010</u></b>			
	<b>Is there a difference between schedule date of completion and estimated date of completion : <u>Yes</u></b>			
	In case Yes, then what are the reasons for the delay, please select from the list below:			
	<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>List of Issues</b>	<b>Yes/No</b>	<b>Brief remarks on the reason for delay</b>
	i.	Delay related to fund release into Project Account		
	ii.	Issues related to cost escalation	Yes	The project cost has been increased to Rs.22.345 Cr.
	iii.	Delay in tendering process	Yes	Limited response, revised administration was received from the Government on 19-11-2008.
	iv.	Technical sanction process at state level		Revised administration was delayed.
v.	Field level conditions leading to redesign	No		
vi.	Constraints in supply of equipment/material/technology	No		
vii.	Technical capacity of ULBs	No		
viii.	Project Management related issues.	No		
ix.	Any other issues / constraints in project implementation	No		

<sup>5</sup> Please specify month and year of completion as envisaged in the DPR, taking into account the release of first installment of funds from GoI as start date for the project

<b>10.</b>	<b>Status of Various Initiatives:</b>			
<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Programme</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Actual Status (in numbers)</b>	
			<b>During the last quarter</b>	<b>Cumulative since inception of the mission</b>
1.	Type of Capacity Building Programmes			
		Number of Official Trained	-	12
		Number of Non Official Trained	-	1
2.	Workshops			
		National Level	-	-
		State Level	-	-
		Regional Level	-	-
3.	Other (Please specify key initiatives)		-	-

<b>11. Issues in Project Monitoring and Inspections</b>		
<b>Sl. No</b>	<b>Particulars</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1	Inspections carried out by SLNA/ GoI Officers	MD, APUFIDC, Hyderabad. CE, MOHUPA, New Delhi. NIUA Team, New Delhi. IRMA, Salem. CE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad.
2	Date of Inspection	MD, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on 23-05-2009 & 17 <sup>th</sup> & 18 <sup>th</sup> June-09 and 21-02-2010. CE, MOHUPA, New Delhi on 08-08-2009. NIUA Team, New Delhi on Jan' 09. IRMA, Salem on 23 <sup>rd</sup> to 29 <sup>th</sup> May' 09 CE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on 1 <sup>st</sup> & 2 <sup>nd</sup> December' 2009 and 21-02-2010.
3	Issues reported during Inspections	-
4	Course corrections done	Attended.
5	Suggestions, if any, for project monitoring and MIS	-

COMMISSIONER,  
MUNICIPAL CORPORATION,  
VIJAYAWADA

COMMISSIONER,  
MUNICIPAL CORPORATION  
VIJAYAWADA.

Signature & Date  
**Authorised Signatory**  
**Project Implementing Agency**

Signature & Date  
**Authorised Signatory**  
**Urban Local Body<sup>6</sup>**

Date: 14-07-2010.

---

<sup>6</sup> In case of projects spanning across multiple ULBs in cities with more than one ULB, the concerned person from the largest ULB in the city should sign on behalf of all ULBs participating in the project