PART III

MONITORING PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

(To be filled in separately for each project)

1.	Project title: Project code:	Mangalagiri Municipality - Providing Storm Water Drains under JNNURM Project. Vja-	_	3.	Project Bank A/c No: & Name & Address of Bank	8443 Part-III Civil Deposits
2.	Implementing Agency:	Public Health Circle, Guntur.		4.	Project Cost (in Rs. Lakhs) – as sanctioned	3016.00
	All amounts are in Rs. lakhs					

5. Budget Allocation by ULB / parastatal agency					
Allocation in ULB / parastatal agency budget for this project in current	Rs.0.00 Lakhs				
financial year					

6.	Capital Contr	ibutions to	the proje	ect and Inflows ¹			
		Commitme nt based on	% of total	Actual release		released into Project count	Commitment pending release
S. No	Sources	approved project cost	project cost	upto end of last reporting quarter ²	During the last quarter being reported	Cumulative released as on	from source for balance project period
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(5+6)	8=(3-7)
1	GoI	1508.00	50	377.00	0.00	377.00	1131.00
2	State	603.20	20	150.80	0.00	150.80	452.40
3	ULB	904.80	30	0.00	426.20	426.20	478.60
4	Others (specify agency's name)	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total	3016.00	100	527.80	426.20	954.00	2062.00

¹ Note (for filling table):

⁽¹⁾ Quarter is defined to be aligned with the financial year time frames

⁽²⁾ Actual dates / amounts for all sources should correspond to dates / amounts of actual cash inflow in the project bank account

 ⁽³⁾ Amounts committed pending release for current financial year and beyond should be linked to project implementation schedule.
² From start of the project

	Rs.
Total interest accumulated in bank account to date	

	Actual amounts utilised in the project					
Tender Package No.	Upto end of last During the last quarter Cumulative		Cumulative Expenditure as on 	Estimated expenditure for next quarter	Expected time to request for next Installment	
1	2	3	4=(2+3)	5	6	
1.						
2.						
3.	Separate list enclosed					
n.						
Total						
			1		<u> </u>	
I.	tilisation of funds	as % of funds received fr	om all sources for th	a project es en de	te %	

All amounts are in Rs. lakhs

³ Utilisation implies – drawals from the project bank account for payments pertaining to the project ⁴ From the start of the project

All amounts are in Rs. lakhs

8.	Project In	nplement	ation Mor	nitoring						
List all tender packages proposed for the project		Cost	Cost (in Rs. Lakhs)		Project Start		Implementation Status		Completion	
Packag e No.	Brief Title of Tender Package	Estimat e	Award ed	On completi on	Tender Release date	Tender Award date	(Work Not started/Un der Progress/ Complete d)	% of work comple ted (Physic al Progres s)	Schedu led date (as per DPR)	Esti mat ed com pleti on date
1.										
2.										
3.				Se	parate list	enclosed				
n.										
]	Fotal									

Scheduled completion date of Project as per DPR⁵ approved by CSMC: <u>29.10.2010</u>

Actual duration (in months) for project completion: 18 Months

Estimated time for completion of project as on date: <u>3.6.2011</u>

Is there a difference between schedule date of completion and estimated date of completion : <u>Yes</u>

	Sl. No.	List of Issues	Yes/No	Brief remarks on the reason for delay
	i.	Delay related to fund release into Project Account	Yes	From the last two months the deposits are not received particularly municipal share is not received till today.
9.	ii.	Delay in starting of execution of scheme	Yes	After finalization of scheme at CSMC level, the Government had given administrative sanction vide GORT No. 356MA, dt.2.3.2009 and technical sanction was accorded by the Engineer-in-Chief (PH) Hyderabad vide Engineer-in-Chief (PH) Hyderabad R No.6/2009-10, dt.6.6.2009. Tender process was started from 11.6.2009 and the work was entrusted to M/S Raghava Constructions after completion of tender process vide Engineer-in-Chief (PH) Hyderabad letter No. 60309/ T3/ MNG/ SWD/ JNNURM/ 2009, dt.25.8.2009 and the agreement was concluded on 4.12.2009 which is the date of mark out to the work as per present condition.
	iii.	Any other issues / constraints in project implementation	Yes	Removal of encroachments is to be done by Municipal Authorities for whom correspondence was made constantly and shifting of electrical poles which can in the alignment of the drains is to be done by APSPDCL. Correspondence is also work with this APSPDCL for shifting of poles. There are putting very less efforts for removal of encroachments and for shifting of electrical poles.

In case Yes, then what are the reasons for the delay, please select from the list below:

10.	Status of Various Initiatives:				
S. No.	Programme	Item	Actu	al Status (in numbers)	
			During the last quarter	Cumulative since inception of the mission	

⁵ Please specify month and year of completion as envisaged in the DPR, taking into account the release of first installment of funds from GoI as start date for the project

1.	Type of Capacity Building Programmes			
		Number of Official Trained	-	-
		Number of Non Official Trained	-	-
2.	Workshops			
		National Level	-	-
		State Level	-	-
		Regional Level	-	-
3.	Other (Please specify key initiatives)		-	-
1				

11.Issu	es in Project Monitoring and Inspec	tions
Sl.	Particulars	Remarks
No		
1	Inspections carried out by SLNA/ GoI	MD, APUFIDC, Hyderabad.
	Officers	CE, MOHUPA, New Delhi.
		NIUA Team, New Delhi.
		IRMA, Salem.
		CE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad.
2	Date of Inspection	MD, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on
		CE, MOHUPA, New Delhi on
		NIUA Team, New Delhi on
		IRMA, Salem on 20.11.2010
		CE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on
		SE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on 5.6.2010
3	Issues reported during Inspections	-
4	Course corrections done	Attended.
5	Suggestions, if any, for project monitoring and MIS	-

Signature & Date Authorised Signatory Project Implementing Agency <u>Signature & Date</u> Authorised Signatory Urban Local Body⁶

Date:11-04-2011.

⁶ In case of projects spanning across multiple ULBs in cities with more than one ULB, the concerned person from the largest ULB in the city should sign on behalf of all ULBs participating in the project