PART III

MONITORING PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

(To be filled in separately for each project)

	Project title:	DPR on Restoration		Project Bank A/c No:	A/c. No.:
		of Roads due to		& Name & Address	62079496073
		laying of Sewer lines		of Bank	State Bank of
1.		in Vijayawada City.	3.		Hyderabad,
	Project code:	Vja-			Governorpet
		•			Branch,
					Vijayawada.
	Implementing Agency:	Municipal		Project Cost (in Rs.	3625.00
2.		Corporation of	4.	Lakhs) – as	
		Vijayawada		sanctioned	

All amounts are in Rs. lakhs

5. Budget Allocation by ULB / parastatal agency	
Allocation in ULB / parastatal agency budget for this project in current	Rs.850.00 lakhs
financial year	

6.	Capital Contr	Capital Contributions to the project and Inflows ¹						
		Commitme nt based on	% of total	Actual release	Actual amounts Project A		Commitment pending release	
S. No	Sources	approved project cost	project cost	upto end of last reporting quarter ²	During the last quarter being reported	Cumulative released as on	from source for balance project period	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=(5+6)	8=(3-7)	
1	GoI	1812.51	50	453.13	374.04	827.17	985.34	
2	State	725.00	20	181.24	7.48	188.72	536.28	
3	ULB	1087.51	30	908.25	247.55	1155.80	0.00	
1 4	Others (specify agency's name)	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	3625.02	100	1542.62	629.07	2171.69	1521.62	

(1) Quarter is defined to be aligned with the financial year time frames

2

¹ Note (for filling table):

⁽²⁾ Actual dates / amounts for all sources should correspond to dates / amounts of actual cash inflow in the project bank account

⁽³⁾ Amounts committed pending release for current financial year and beyond should be linked to project *implementation schedule.* ² From start of the project

	Rs.
Total interest accumulated in bank account to date	

7. M	Monitoring Funds Utilisation ³ for the project							
	Actua	l amounts utilised	l in the project					
Tender Package No.	Upto end of last reporting Quarter ⁴	During the last quarter being reported	Cumulative Expenditure as on 	Estimated expenditure for next quarter	Expected time to request for next Installment			
1	2	3	4=(2+3)	5	6			
1.								
2.								
3.	Separate list enclosed							
n.								
Total								
	Utilisation of funds as % of funds received from all sources for the project as on date 100%							

All amounts are in Rs. lakhs

³ Utilisation implies – drawals from the project bank account for payments pertaining to the project ⁴ From the start of the project

All amounts are in Rs. lakhs

8.	Projec	t Impleme	ntation	Monito	ring					
List all tender packages proposed for the project		Cost (in	ı Rs. La	nkhs) Project Start		t Start	Implementation Status		Completion	
Packag e No.	Brief Title of Tender Package	Estimate	Aw arde d	On comp letion	Tende r Releas e date	Tend er Awar d date	(Work Not started/U nder Progress/ Complete d)	% of work compl eted (Physi cal Progre ss)	Schedule d date (as per DPR)	Estimated completion date
1.										
2.										
3.										
					Separ	ate list	enclosed	I		
n.										
To	otal									

Scheduled completion date of Project as per DPR⁵ approved by CSMC: February / 2011

Actual duration (in months) for project completion: 24 Months

Estimated time for completion of project as on date: <u>June / 2011</u> (Completed)

Is there a difference between schedule date of completion and estimated date of completion: No

In case Yes, then what are the reasons for the delay, please select from the list below:

Sl. No.	List of Issues	Yes/No	Brief remarks on the reason for delay
i.	Delay related to fund release into Project Account	Yes	
ii.	Issues related to cost escalation		
iii.	Delay in tendering process		
iv.	Technical sanction process at state level		
V.	Field level conditions leading to redesign		
vi.	Constraints in supply of equipment/material/technology		
vii.	Technical capacity of ULBs		
viii.	Project Management related issues.		
ix.	Any other issues / constraints in project implementation	Yes	Heavy Rains.

10.	Status of Various Initiatives:			
S.	Programme	Item	Actual	Status (in numbers)
No.				
			During the last	Cumulative since inception
			quarter	of the mission
1.	Type of Capacity Building			
	Programmes			

⁵ Please specify month and year of completion as envisaged in the DPR, taking into account the release of first installment of funds from GoI as start date for the project

5

of Official Trained Number - 1 of Non Official Trained 2. Workshops National Level State Level			Number	-	12
Trained Number of Non Official Trained 2. Workshops National Level State			of		
Number of Non Official Trained 2. Workshops National					
of Non Official Trained 2. Workshops National			Trained		
Official Trained 2. Workshops National			Number	-	1
Z. Workshops National State			of Non		
2. Workshops National			Official		
2. Workshops National Level State			Trained		
National State					
National State					
National State	2.	Workshops			
Level State		•	National	-	-
			State	-	-
			Level		
Regional			Regional	-	-
Level					
3. Other (Please specify key	3.	Other (Please specify key		-	-
initiatives)		initiatives)			
		•			

11.Issue	1.Issues in Project Monitoring and Inspections					
Sl.	Particulars	Remarks				
No						
1	Inspections carried out by SLNA/ GoI	MD, APUFIDC, Hyderabad.				
	Officers	CE, MOHUPA, New Delhi.				
		NIUA Team, New Delhi.				
		IRMA, Salem.				
		CE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad.				
2	Date of Inspection	MD, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on 23-05-2009 & 17 th & 18 th June-				
		09 and 21-02-2010				
		CE, MOHUPA, New Delhi on 08-08-2009.				
		NIUA Team, New Delhi on Jan' 09.				
		IRMA, Salem on 23 rd to 29 th May' 09				
		CE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on 1 st & 2 nd December' 2009 and 21-				
		02-2010.				
		SE, APUFIDC, Hyderabad on 28 th August' 2010.				
3	Issues reported during Inspections	-				
4	Course corrections done	Attended.				
5	Suggestions, if any, for project	-				
	monitoring and MIS					

COMMISSIONER, MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, VIJAYAWADA COMMISSIONER, MUNICIPAL CORPORATION VIJAYAWADA.

Signature & Date
Authorised Signatory
Project Implementing Agency

Signature & Date
Authorised Signatory
Urban Local Body⁶

Date:16-07-2011.

_

 $^{^6}$ In case of projects spanning across multiple ULBs in cities with more than one ULB, the concerned person from the largest ULB in the city should sign on behalf of all ULBs participating in the project